



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,156	08/18/2003	Shane L. Nickel	12256.7US01	12256.7US01 3549	
23552	7590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			THOMPSON, HUGH B		
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
)	10/643,156	NICKEL, SHANE L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	August 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-15-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3634

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 1, line 3, "one or more traveler devices", and in line 5, "traveler devices", should both read –at least one traveler device--.

With respect to claim 11, there is no antecedent basis for "the brake device".

With respect to claim 14, it is unclear as to what constitutes "at an input positioned to receive". Claim 14 may be allowable if properly recited.

With respect to claim 18, the applicant has failed to provide any structural relationship between the foot brake and the remaining elements of the system. Claims 6, 10, and 15 recite similar ambiguities.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10, 12, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rousseau #4,967,733. Rousseau discloses a scaffold lift system comprised of vertical posts 60, spacer rollers as best seen in Figure 5, tracks 62, travelers/sprockets/gears 66

Art Unit: 3634

Ė

(drive), 70 (input), support member 64 secured to 66, platform assembly 10, and actuator/brake assembly 41, 42, which controls movement of the travelers along the posts.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 18 is allowed.

Claims 3-5, 9, 11, and 15, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 3 is the inclusion of the traveler having a drive gear that receives a drive and meshes with a second gear that engages the track. For claim 9, it is the inclusion of the drive gear having a driver engagement portion that engages an actuator tool. For claim 18 it is the inclusion of the traveler having a worm gear that drives a sprocket gear, which engages the toothed track of the post. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ready #4,294,332, Gagnon et al #4,600,085, Evans et al #6,676,233, Ho #4,887,694, Duplessis #6,523,647, Yindra #4,552,403, Agee #6,119,605. Schmid, Jr. #6,793,039, and Lahaie #5,310,018 are cited to teach scaffold lift assemblies.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,156

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (703) 305-0102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 3634

October 28, 2004